



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DÉPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/990,572	11/23/2001	Osama Moselhi	6446-17US JA/IC/AD 2015	
20988	7590 03/14/2006		EXAMINER	
OGILVY RENAULT LLP			CHAWAN, SHEELA C	
1981 MCGIL SUITE 1600	L COLLEGE AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MONTREAL, QC H3A2Y3			2623	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/990,572	MOSELHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sheela C. Chawan	2623
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  lety filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 De</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 4-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 4-8,14-18,23-26 and 32-35 is/are reject 7)  Claim(s) 9-13, 19-22, 27-31, 36-39 is/are object 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. cted. ected to.	
<u> </u>		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the consequence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on Dec 21, 2005 has been entered and made of record.

Claims 1-3 are canceled.

Application/Control Number: 09/990,572

Claims 4 -39 are pending in the application.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see page 2, lines 15-18 of the remarks, filed May 24, 2005, with respect to rejection of claims 4-39 under 102(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the 102(a) rejection of claims 4-39 has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection 102 (b) in view of Stafford et al., (US. 5,331,550).

#### Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 11/26/2003 has been considered by the examiner.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 4 - 8, 14 - 18, 23 - 26, 32- 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stafford et al., (US. 5,331,550).

As to claim 4, Stafford discloses a method for detecting a plurality of defects in an item under inspection (note, computer – aided analysis is done by a parallel processing where images are digitized to accurately provide information about the location of possible anomalies in the industrial images/ or medical diagnosis, (abstract, column 2, lines 29- 32, column 3, lines 29 - 31) comprising:

Page 3

acquiring at least one image of said item (fig 1, element 2 the analogy image is raster-scanned and digitized (fig 1, element 4) to detect defects in various industrial images such as radiographs or sonogram images of parts, equipment and /or welds. The resultant digitized output image 2' from the digitizer 4 comprises a matrix of M rows by N columns (MxN) of adjacent individual picture elements ("pixels"), column 2, lines 39- 40, column 3, lines 29- 31, 40-46, column 4, lines 1- 4, column 4, lines 44-54);

providing a plurality of neural networks (fig 2, element 22A - 22N corresponds to plurality of neural networks, column 4, lines 50- 54, column 6, lines 48- 65), at least one of said plurality of neural networks corresponding to each one of said plurality of defects to be detected (column 7, lines 14- 31);

processing said at least one image to produce a processed image having objects isolated from an image background of said image acquiring at least one image of said item (fig 1, element 2 the analogy image is raster-scanned and digitized by apparatus (fig 1, element 4) to detect defects in various industrial images such as radiographs or sonogram images of parts, equipment and /or welds. The resultant digitized output image 2' from the digitizer 4 comprises a matrix of M rows by N

columns (MxN) of adjacent individual picture elements ("pixels"), subdividing the digitized image into a plurality of predetermined regions each comprising mxn picture elements and subtracting background from each predetermined region of the digitized image using neural network to analyze each predetermined sub region of the digitized image as pattern indicative of an occurrence of a possible anomaly, column 2, lines 39-56, column 3, lines 29-31, 40-46, column 4, lines 1-4, column 4, lines 44-54); (column 2, lines 34-47, column 4, lines 44-68, column 5, lines 3-40); and

inputting said processed image into said plurality of neural networks to obtain information concerning corresponding defects (note, fig 2, element 22A - 22N corresponds to plurality of neural networks, neural network system, analyzes each predetermined sub region of the digitized image to recognize any pattern indicative of an occurrence of a possible anomaly, the neural network system comprising at least two member neural networks each trained to recognize a particular preselected anomaly-type of a preselected size and to produce an output signal value indicative of the presence of said preselected anomaly type, column 4, lines 44- 54, column 5, lines 48- 64, column 6, lines 29- 68, column 7, lines 14-31, column 7, lines 45- 68, column 8, lines 1-11, 26- 50, column 9, lines 1-64).

As to claim 23, see the above rejection for claim 4.

As to claims 5 and 24, Stafford discloses a method, further comprising issuing a report using said information concerning said defects (fig 2, element 30, column 9, lines 1-18).

As to claims 6 and 25, Stafford discloses a method, wherein said plurality of neural networks further comprises sets of neural networks used for counter-checking results (column 8, lines 12- 25), each one of said sets of similar neural networks corresponding to each one of said plurality of defects to be detected (column 7, lines 56- 68, column 8, lines 1- 34, column 9, lines 11- 18).

As to claim 7, Stafford discloses a method, wherein processing said at Yeast one image further comprises processing said at least one image according to a selected set of image analysis techniques said set of image analysis techniques selected as a function of said defects to be detected (column 2, lines 1-26, column 6, lines 28-38, column 7, lines 1-13).

As to claims 8 and 26, Stafford discloses a method, wherein n sets of neural networks are used to detect n types of defects (column 6, lines 48- 65, column 7, lines 14- 31).

As to claims 14 and 32, Stafford discloses a method wherein said neural networks are back propagation neural networks (column 7, lines 32-44).

As to claims 15 and 33, Stafford discloses a method wherein said acquiring an image comprises using a closed circuit television camera and a videotape (column 9, lines 50-64).

As to claims 16 and 34, Stafford discloses a method, wherein said videotape is digitized (column 2, lines 33-41).

Application/Control Number: 09/990,572 Page 6

Art Unit: 2623

As to claim 17, Stafford discloses a method, wherein each set of neural networks comprises at least three neural networks used for counter-checking results (fig 2, 22A, 22B, and 22N, corresponds to at least three neural networks).

As to claims 18 and 35, Stafford discloses a method comprises determining a position (column 9, lines 50- 64) of said objects in said item under inspection (column 3, lines 41-46).

# Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 9 - 13,19 - 22, 27- 31, 36- 39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/990,572 Page 7

Art Unit: 2623

# Other prior art cited

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Stefanski (US. 5,450,315) discloses apparatus using a neural network for power factor calculation.

Unsworth et al., (US.6,757,665 B1) discloses detection of pump cavitation/blockage and seal failure VIA current signature analysis.

Freese, V et al., (US.5,604,441) discloses in-situ oil analyzer and method of using same, particularly for continuous on - board analysis of diesel engine lubrication systems.

Glier et al., (US.5,701,398) discloses adaptive classifier having multiple subnetworks.

Filkin (US.5,046,020) discloses distributed parallel processing network wherein the connection weights are generated using stiff differential equations.

Page 8

**Contact Information** 

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sheela C Chawan whose telephone number is. 571-

272-7446. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7.30 - 6.00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached at 571-272-7778. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sheela Chawan

Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 2623

Feb 27, 2006

Marker C. Bella

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600